9 10 11 12 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Kevin Embry,

NO. C 09-01808 JW

ACER America Corp.,

ORDER CLARIFYING THAT ALL ECTIONS NOT SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED IN FINAL APPROVAL ORDER ARE OVERRULED

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

On February 14, 2012, the Court granted the parties' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, and issued a Final Approval Order and Judgment. In addition, because one objector to the class settlement had filed a motion to withdraw previous objectors and substitute himself as an objector, the Court issued an additional Order granting the objector's Motion for Substitution but overruling his objections on the merits.²

Subsequent to the Court's February 14 Approval Order, Plaintiffs sent a letter requesting clarification as to whether the Court considered those objections to the settlement not addressed by name in the Final Approval Order.³ Accordingly, the Court clarifies that all objections to the settlement were considered and overruled. Specifically, the only objections not addressed by name in the Court's Approval Order are those filed by class member Christopher Bandas. (See Docket

26

28

²⁵

¹ (Final Approval Order and Judgment, hereafter, "Approval Order," Docket Item No. 218.)

²⁷ (See Docket Item No. 217.)

³ (See Docket Item Nos. 219.)

Case 5:09-cv-01808-JW Document 221 Filed 02/24/12 Page 2 of 3

I	
5	а
	orni
<u></u>	Calif
ĭ	t of (
5	stric
United States District Court	For the Northern District of California
ate	ther
	Noi
ğ	r the
11	Ро

Item No. 199.) Mr. Bandas' objections were not to the terms of the settlement itself, but only as to
the amount of attorney fees and the sufficiency of the evidence submitted in support of class
counsel's request for fees. (See id.) In its Final Approval Order, the Court discussed at length the
fairness of the fee award. (See Approval Order ¶ 15.) Inherent in the finding that the fee award is
fair is the finding that the Court had adequate information to assess class counsel's request for fees.
For purposes of clarity, however, the Court reiterates that it has considered and overruled all
objections to the settlement and the fee award.
\circ . \downarrow

Dated: February 24, 2012

JAMES WARE United States District Chief Judge

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

	THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:
ı	

2	Adam Gutride adam@gutridesafier.com
	Adam Joseph Bedel ajbedel@quinnemanuel.com
3	Jeffery David McFarland jdm@quinnemanuel.com
	Joseph Darrell Palmer darrell.palmer@palmerlegalteam.com
4	Sam P. Cannata samcannata@cannataphillipslaw.com
	Seth Adam Safier seth@gutridesafier.com
5	Stan Karas stankaras@quinnemanuel.com
	Todd Michael Kennedy todd@gutridesafier.com

Dated: February 24, 2012 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: /s/ JW Chambers
Susan Imbriani
Courtroom Deputy